Your name and address

November 16, 2014

County of Napa

Planning, Building and Environmental Services Department

Attn: Kelli Cahill, Project Planner

1195 Third Street-Suite 210

Napa, CA 94559

Via email: [kelli.cahill@countyofnapa.org](mailto:kelli.cahill@countyofnapa.org)

Re: Walt Ranch Erosion Control Plan

Application: P11-00205

>>Begin by stating you are opposed to the proposed project.

>>Then, it has been suggested that we make statements such as:

The project mitigation measures are inadequate........

The finding and conclusions are not supported by the evidence........

The EIR fails to evaluate the impacts of the project including........

The EIR erroneously concludes..........

The data submitted is incorrect...........

The EIR omits known facts including...........

The EIR fails to identify and mitigate the impacts of.......

The project is piece mealing because it does not evaluate the cumulative impacts of .......(other foreseeable projects including)

The impacts of the project threaten......

Project impacts are immitigable including impacts to......

The EIR fails to adequately evaluate alternatives to the project......

The EIR does not evaluate the project impacts to ........( jobs/housing imbalance, green house gas, ground water depletion)

The EIR makes conclusion contrary to know facts.......(rain fall to fill reservoir that does not occur on Napa etc.)

Be professional and speak to the adequacy of the document. Give examples of known facts contrary to the conclusions offered in the eir.

Remember: inadequate, insufficient, unsupported, erroneously concludes, incorrect.......

>>Ask that the EIR address specific known facts and address incorrect statements.

>>For each comment it is best if you ask a question so the county has to respond.

*Deadline is this Friday by 4PM.*