Soda Canyon Road
Mr. Yeoryios Apallas, Esq.
Winery Traffic: Soda Cyn & Silverado. Tr.

- Winery Visitation Permitted on SCR: 15,614/yr
- Winery Visitation Permitted on ST at SCR: 21,940/yr
- **Total CURRENT Visitation at/near SCR/ST Intersection:** 37,554/yr

- Proposed Winery Visitation on SCR: 26,739/yr (18,846 from MPW)
- Proposed Winery Visitation on ST at SCR: 46,856/yr
- **Total PROPOSED Visitation at/near SCR/ST Intersection:** 73,595/yr

- **Total Winery Visitors at/near SCR/ST Intersection if ALL Approved:** 111,149/yr
- **Percentage Increase Current to Future:** 196%
SCR/ST ACCIDENTS
CHP Incident Report: Jan 2013 – Apr. 2016 (39 Months)

- Total Number of Incidents: 36
- Number of 2 car collisions: 8
- Number of 1 car collisions: 10
- Driving Under Influence: 7
- Semi-Truck Stalls/Accidents: 2
- Fire: 1
- Daytime Incidents (7am-6pm): 22
- Nighttime Incidents (6pm-6am): 14
- Traffic Hazards: 2
- Reckless Driving: 3
- Animal in Roadway: 1
- 2 Car Speed Contest: 1
Mr. Glenn Schreuder
Napa County showing Soda Canyon Road Service Area

Water bodies
Soda Cyn Rd service area

Amber Manfree 2015 • admanfree@ucdavis.edu
Data Sources: CalAtlas, Napa County, USGS
2015 Soda Canyon Road Residences

Building type

- Main residence (163)
- Second dwelling unit (14)
- Winery & main residence (2)
- Winery (4)
- Agricultural building (5)
- Fire station (1)

Amber Manfree 2015 • admanfree@ucdavis.edu
Data Sources: CalAtlas, Napa County, USGS
Petition Signators Residing in the Greater Soda Canyon Area

As of July 19th, 2016

Prepared by Amber Manfree • admanfree@gmail.com
Soda Canyon Road Conditions

SCR mile 0.80
Soda Canyon Road Conditions

SCR mile 3.25
Soda Canyon Road Conditions

SCR mile 5.20 (Clear Day)
Soda Canyon Road Conditions

- SCR mile 5.20 (Foggy Day)
Soda Canyon Road Conditions

- SCR at mile 4.85 (Clear Day)
Soda Canyon Road Conditions

- SCR mile 4.85 (Foggy Day)
Soda Canyon Road Conditions

- Flooding & Mudslide at mile 1.10
Walkers, Joggers, Cyclists

SCR Cyclists at mile 4.65 (July 2, 2016)
Mrs. Diane Shepp
Video: Vineyard Worker Caravan
Traffic Accidents on SCR

- June 2015 Accident & Abandoned Car on Mountain Peak Property
Traffic Accidents on SCR

- Car Accident at 2441 SCR (mile 4.30) – Sept. 2015
Traffic Accidents on SCR

- Car accident at 2441 SCR (mile 4.30) – Sept. 2015
Traffic Accidents on SCR

- Car accident at 2441 SCR (mile 4.30) – Sept. 2015
Alcohol Consumption on SCR
Alcohol Consumption on SCR
Alcohol Consumption on SCR
Mrs. Barbara Guggia
Soda Canyon Road – One Lane Bridge

SCR mile 3.15
Soda Canyon Road – Reckless Driving

SCR mile 3.20
Soda Canyon Road – Reckless Driving

SCR mile 3.20
Mrs. Draselle Muscatine
Soda Canyon Road Conditions

- SCR mile 3.95 – Hairpin Turn
Soda Canyon Road Conditions

- SCR mile 4.30 (Sharp Turn/Steep Hill)
Cpt. Anne Palotás
Trucks on SCR

SCR mile 4.40
Trucks on SCR

- SCR mile 3.15
Trucks on SCR

SCR mile 1.10
Trucks on SCR

- Semi-Truck blocking SCR at mile 4.95
Video – Semi-Truck on SCR
Mrs. Cynthia Grupp
Napa County showing Fire Hazard Severity Zones and Soda Canyon Road Service Area

- **Soda Cyn Rd service area**
- **Water bodies**
- **Fire hazard severity zone**
  - Moderate
  - High
  - Very High

[Map details include city names: Calistoga, Yountville, Napa.]

Amber Manfree 2015 • admanfree@ucdavis.edu
Data Sources: CalAtlas, CAL FIRE, Napa County, USGS
## CALFIRE CALLS FOR SODA CANYON 2011-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OTHER FIRES</th>
<th>VEGETATION FIRES</th>
<th>STRUCTURE FIRES</th>
<th>MEDICALS</th>
<th>HAZARDS</th>
<th>PUBLIC ASSISTS</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pie Chart

- **Other Fires**: 26%
- **Vegetation Fires**: 5%
- **Structure Fires**: 1%
- **Medicals**: 55%
- **Hazards**: 6%
- **Public Assists**: 7%

---

**Total Calls**: 116
“There are a wide range of fuels in the Soda Canyon/Monticello Area. Fuels range from grass/oak woodland to 15-50 year old chaparral with some stands of decadent brush over 50 years old. Due to fire suppression and lack of aggressive wildland fuels management, both the vertical arrangement and horizontal continuity of fuels will promote rapid fire growth. These same conditions will also hinder conventional fire suppression tactics.”

“The Soda Canyon/Monticello Area consists of numerous structures ranging from small to very large wineries, caves, and trailers. Most have outbuildings that may contain hazardous materials such as fuel, ammunition, pesticides, insecticides, herbicides, fertilizers and controlled substances.”

“Elevations within the Soda Canyon/Monticello Area range between 0 and 80% slope. . . Vineyards and other manmade features provide a network of barriers that will need to be connected to create an effective fireline. The two wide canyons provide the opportunity for wind to be funneled, even under local wind conditions.”
CalFire Soda Canyon/Monticello Pre-Attack Fire Plan

“The early designation and use of incident facilities such as Staging Areas and early evacuation is critical due to the poor road network servicing area. The roads will quickly become congested if an effective traffic control plan is not established by cooperating law enforcement agencies and public works departments.”

“An adequate facility to support an incident does not exist within the Soda Canyon/Monticello Area.”

“If evacuation is necessary, it needs to be ORDERED EARLY… Residents should be discouraged from using their normal travel patterns if that takes them closer to the incident. If the incident or associated emergency responder equipment compromises the travel routes, then sheltering in place or use of vineyards may be the best option.”

“Depending on the location of the fire, the primary evacuation routes are the main paved roads of Soda Canyon Rd.”
CalFire Soda Canyon/Monticello Pre-Attack Fire Plan

- “Most bridges have not been engineered, tested or rated for fire engines. Inspect every bridge before crossing!”

- “Many of the structures have an address of the nearest paved road, but are in fact located on long, narrow, driveways, well off the road. Fire engines may have limited access and turnaround space due to narrow roads and overhanging trees. Many are located mid-slope.”

- “There are no water distribution systems in the Soda Canyon/Monticello Area...Residences use wells with on-site water storage.”
“The most significant fire in the Soda Canyon/Monticello Area was the 1981 Atlas Peak fire[,] which] burned approximately 23,000 acres over two days in late June. Several other fires have occurred in recent years including the October 2006 Atlas Fire and July 2007 Peak Fire. Both of these fires burned around numerous structures and required a significant commitment of resources.”

“Fire history, fuels, topography and urban-interface issues indicate the potential for a large and damaging fire in the Soda Canyon/Monticello Area.”
Mr. David Hallett
May 2003 Fire on SCR
May 2003 Fire on SCR
May 2003 Fire on SCR
Aug. 2005 Fire on SCR
Aug. 2005 on SCR
Aug. 2005 Fire on SCR
Mrs. Julia Arger
Mountain Peak in Relation to Argers, Hockers
Mountain Peak Winery Proposal

- **Production**: 100,000 gallons production/yr
- **Caves**: 33,424 sf of caves (large Safeway store!)
- **Annual Visitation**: 18,486 visitors per year
- **Marketing Events**: 78 (lasting until 10pm!)
- **Vehicle Trips**: ~47,300 per year
- **Water Use**: ~15,000 gallons per day
# Mountain Peak Visitation

## Mountain Peak Winery Annual Visitation Figures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visitation Category</th>
<th># People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Daytime Visitors</td>
<td>16,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(320/week x 52 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Marketing Event</strong></td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3 Events, 12 people x 12 months)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Marketing Event</strong></td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3 Events, 24 people x 12 months)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Marketing Events</strong></td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4 Events, 75 people)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Marketing Events</strong></td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2 Events, 125 people)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Grand Total Visitors</strong></td>
<td>18,486</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr. Bill Hocker
Quiet Enjoyment

“One’s right to the undisturbed use and enjoyment of their property.”
Rector Canyon at Sunset
Dr. Kosta M. Arger, M.D.
Mountain Peak’s “92% on-site Production”

- 100,000 gallons = ~700 tons of grapes
- Mountain Peak Parcel: 41 Acres
- Plantable Vineyards Post-Construction: 25 Acres
- Average Yield on Atlas Peak: 2-2.5 tons, 3 tons at best
- Max Tons from Mountain Peak Parcel: 75 tons or 11% on-site production
- Annual SHORTFALL: ~625 tons

- Mountain Peak Separate Parcel: 84 Acres
- Max Tons from both Parcels: 325 tons or 47% on-site production
- Annual SHORTFALL: 475 tons
## Mountain Peak Winery On-Site (APN 032-500-033) Grape Production Chart & Grape Hauling Realities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Plantable Acres on APN 032-500-033 After Construction</th>
<th>25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mountain Peak Requested Permit Size</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Converted to Max Tons of grapes needed to produce 100,000 gal of wine)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tons of Grapes</th>
<th>Mountain Peak Current On-Site Grape Production Capacity (Tons)</th>
<th>Mountain Peak Current On-Site Grape Production Capacity (as a Percentage)</th>
<th>Annual Grape Shortfall (Tons)</th>
<th>Annual Grape Shortfall (as a Percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assuming Production of 2 Tons/Acre</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assuming Production of 2.5 Tons/Acre</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>637.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assuming Production of 3 Tons/Acre</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assuming Production of 3.5 Tons/Acre</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assuming Production of 4 Tons/Acre</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Number of Trucks Required to Transport Grapes to Mountain Peak Winery Annually

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grape Truck/Transport Hauling Capacity</th>
<th>Tons of Grapes (per truck)</th>
<th># Trucks Needed to Transport Annual Grape Shortfall if 2 Tons/Acre</th>
<th># Trucks Needed to Transport Annual Grape Shortfall if 3 Tons/Acre</th>
<th># Trucks Needed to Transport Annual Grape Shortfall if 4 Tons/Acre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small Grape Transport (Dumptruck) - Max Hauling Capacity:</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Grape Transport (Semi/Tractor-Trailer) - Max Hauling Capacity:</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date: July 12, 2016
Data Compiled by: Amber Manfree, PhD
[Sources: Napa County Winery Database, Napa County Documents, & Soda Canyon Road Vineyard Financials]
“92% on-site Production”

MPW On-site Grape Production vs. Quantity Needed for Planned Wine Production

Assuming Production of 2 Tons/Acre
Assuming Production of 3 Tons/Acre
Assuming Production of 4 Tons/Acre

Mountain Peak Current On-Site Grape Production Capacity
Annual Grape Shortfall
Dr. Amber Manfree, PhD
Environmental Impacts
Environmental Impacts
Environmental Impacts
Mrs. Doreen Leighton
Mountain Peak’s Water Usage

- Water Tanks: 2, 100,000 gallon water tanks
- Water Use Per Day: 15,000 gallons
- Water Use Per Year: 5,500,000 gallons (~280 swimming pools)

- Effects on local watershed
  - Wells will go dry
  - Rector Canyon feeds Rector Reservoir – Yountville water source

- Wools Ranch Well Monitors
Dr. Nicholas Arger, M.D.
III. The Appropriate Intensity of Marketing Programs

“To ensure that the intensity of winery activities is appropriately scaled, the County considers the remoteness of the location and the amount of wine to be produced at a facility when reviewing use permit proposals, and endeavors to ensure a direct relationship between access constraints and on-site marketing and visitation programs.”
Remoteness of Atlas Peak

- No cell phone reception
- Limited GPS functionality
- Every property is on septic
- Every property is on wells
- Literally NO Sound, particularly at night (when MPW wants 78 events!)
Dr. Daniel McFadden, PhD
Direct to Consumer (DTC): A Flawed Economic Model

- If wineries “need” DTC to survive, basic economic theory suggests that the market is over saturated with wineries.

- By caving to demands for the DTC model, the County is effectively subsidizing the wine industry to its own detriment.

- If wineries cannot make it on their, they should be allowed to fail.

- If wineries are continuously put on life support through the DTC model, the Napa Valley brand is diminished.
Mr. Anthony Arger, Esq.
1. Krupp Winery (01241-UP)

- Location: Applied at 3265 Soda Canyon Road in Nov. 2001
- Land Size: 48 acres
- Annual Production: 48,000 gallons
- Caves: 10,500 sf
- Annual Visitation: 2,320 visitors
- Permit Status: Withdrawn b/c of neighbor outrage
2. Astrale e Terra/Meadowrock (SW-118889-UP)

- Location: 3148 SCR (0.4 miles from Mountain Peak)
- Land Size: 63 acres
- Planted Vineyards: 33 acres
- Annual Production: 20,000 gallons
- Caves: 0
- Annual Visitation: 52 (reduced to 0 by the Dept. Alcoholic Bev. Control)
- Permit Status: Active
Precedent on Upper Soda Canyon

Astrale e Terra – ABC Dispute

Hearing: 1999

ABC Factual Determination:

- “Evidence established that increased traffic on SCR would interfere with the quiet enjoyment of nearby residences”
- Evidence established that increased traffic on SCR would aggravate a traffic problem on a problematic roadway that serves Applicant, nearby residents and two other vineyards

ABC Decision: Placed 2 conditions on the License

- “No winetasting or tasting by appointment shall be permitted at this location”
- “No retail sales of alcoholic beverages to walk-in customers shall be permitted at this location”
# Traffic Increase Since 1999

## Vineyard Worker & Winery Visitor Traffic on Soda Canyon Road & Silverado Trail

### Soda Canyon Road Vineyard Worker Visit Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. Acres Existing</th>
<th>Total Worker Visits (20 worker visits x No. Acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>24,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,956</td>
<td>(1,956 + 721 acres planted since 1998) 39,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2,072</td>
<td>(1,956 + 116 acres planted since 2010) 41,440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Accessed by Soda Canyon Road: Winery Visitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winery Visitors Permitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>5,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>15,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Visitors: Grassi, MPW, &amp; Relic</td>
<td>26,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Future/Pending (Existing + Relic, MPW, Grassi Proposed)</td>
<td>42,353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Accessed by Silverado Trail at Intersection with Soda Canyon Road: Winery Visitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winery Visitors Permitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>18,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>21,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Visitors: Beau Vignio, Corone, Reynolds Expansion, Sam Jasper</td>
<td>46,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Future/Pending (Existing + BV, Corone, Reynolds, Sam Jasper Proposed)</td>
<td>68,796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Combined Vineyard Worker & Winery Visitors at Intersection of Silverado Trail & Soda Canyon Road

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Vineyard Worker Traffic &amp; Winery Visitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>48,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>78,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Vnyd Workers + Existing Winery Visitors + ALL Proposed Winery Visitors</td>
<td>152,589</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase from Existing Traffic to Future Total if All Approved (as a percentage) 93%
3. Antica (U-488687)

- Location: 3700 SCR (0.5 miles from Mountain Peak)
- Land Size: 1,223 acres
- Planted Vineyards: 570 acres
- Annual Production: 450,000 gallons
- Caves: 36,000
- Annual Visitation: 5,200
- Permit Status: Active
## Comparison Chart: Astrale e Terra Winery vs. Mountain Peak Winery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Size (Acres)</th>
<th>Plantable Vineyards (Existing Acres Antica)</th>
<th>Estimated On-Site Vineyard Production (Tons, assuming 3 tons/acre)</th>
<th>Production (Gallons)</th>
<th>Cave Size (SF)</th>
<th>Visitors (Annual)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Astrale e Terra Winery Actual Figures</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPW Production Request</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>33,424</td>
<td>18,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPW Scaled to On-Site Grape</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>10,714</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPW Scaled to On-Site Grape</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>14,286</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Capacity + 25% Outside</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grape Sourcing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPW Scaled to Astrale e Terra Winery</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,997</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPW Scaled to Astrale e Terra</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vineyard Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Comparison Chart: Antica Winery vs. Mountain Peak Winery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Size (Acres)</th>
<th>Plantable Vineyards (Existing Acres Antica)</th>
<th>Estimated On-Site Vineyard Production (Tons, assuming 3 tons/acre)</th>
<th>Production (Gallons)</th>
<th>Cave Size (SF)</th>
<th>Visitors (Annual)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antica Winery Actual Figures</strong></td>
<td>1223</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>1710</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>5,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MPW Production Request</strong></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>33,424</td>
<td>18,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MPW Scaled to On-Site Grape Production Capacity</strong></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>10,714</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MPW Scaled to On-Site Grape Production Capacity + 25% Outside Grape Sourcing</strong></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14,286</td>
<td>1,143</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MPW Scaled to Antica Winery Land Area</strong></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,088</td>
<td>1,189</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MPW Scaled to Antica Vineyard Area</strong></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>19,725</td>
<td>1,575</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Date:** July 12, 2016  
**Data Compiled by:** Amber Manfree, PhD  
**Sources:** Napa County Winery Database, Napa County Documents
Conclusion

Mountain Peak Appropriate Size

- Annual Production: 12,000 – 20,000 gallons
- Caves: 1,200 to 1,600 sf
- Annual Visitation: 0 visitors
Existing Vineyard in 1999

1999 Soda Canyon Road Vineyards and Wineries

Wineries
- Established before 1999

1999 vineyards
- Vineyard in 1998 (1225 ac)
- Unclassified in 1998 (100 ac)

Amber Manfree • 2015 • admanfree@ucdavis.edu
Data Sources: CalAtlas, Napa County, USGS
Soda Canyon Road Service Area
Vineyard Acreage Increase 1999 to 2015

Roads (miles from Silverado Trail)

- Feliz Ranch Rd (0.3)
- Loma Vista Dr (1.5)
- Chimney Rock Rd (3.7)
- Ridge Dr (4.0)
- Capps Dr (4.5)
- Soda Cyn Ranch (6.0)
- Soda Cyn Rd Left Fork Dirt (6.1)
- Soda Cyn Rd Right Fork Paved (6.1)
- Soda Cyn Rd Main (0.0 to 6.0)

- Vineyard in 1998 and 2015
- Unclassified in 1998; vineyard in 2015
- New vineyard since 1998